
Shared Use Path Design

A. Accessible Shared Use Path Design

1. **General:** Applicable portions from the following draft documents were used to develop this section.
 - a. **AASHTO Bike Guide:** The fourth edition (2012) of the AASHTO “Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities” (or *AASHTO Bike Guide*). References made to the *AASHTO Bike Guide* within this section are shown in parentheses, e.g. (AASHTO 5.2.1).
 - b. **AGODA:** The June 20, 2007 Proposed Architectural Barriers Act “Accessibility Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas” (AGODA). This document is primarily used for shared use paths designed as bicycle facilities.
 - c. **PROWAG:** The July 26, 2011 “Proposed Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way,” also known as the Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines or PROWAG. This document is primarily used for shared use paths designed as sidewalks.
2. **Documenting Exceptions:** If the project cannot fully meet the minimum requirements included within this section, a document should be developed to describe why the minimum requirements cannot be met. It is recommended that this document be retained in the project file. For local agency projects administered through Iowa DOT, a certification with supporting documentation shall be submitted to the Iowa DOT administering office. The certification shall be as prescribed by the Iowa DOT and signed by a registered professional engineer or landscape architect licensed in the State of Iowa. For Iowa DOT projects, contact the Office of Design, Methods Section.

B. Shared Use Path Categories

1. **Type 1:** A shared use path adjacent or in close proximity to the roadway and functions similar to a sidewalk. In rural cross-sections, these paths would be at the top of the foreslope. These paths are generally used for transportation purposes.
2. **Type 2:** A shared use path similar to Type 3, except they serve as a transportation route to facilities that fulfill a basic life need, provide access to a program or service, or provide a safe route for non-drivers.
3. **Type 3:** A shared use path in independent right-of-way or not in close proximity to the roadway. Although Type 3 paths may fulfill a transportation function, these paths primarily serve a recreation and fitness benefit.

One shared use path project may have different combinations of Type 1, Type 2, and/or Type 3 segments, based on location and function. If Federal or State funding is being used on a project, the funding application should identify where Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 segments will be used.

C. Shared Use Path Design Elements

The following considerations should be used as a guide when designing shared use paths.

1. **Width:** A bicyclist requires a minimum of 4 feet and a preferred 5 feet of essential operating space based upon their profile. The typical path width is 10 feet to accommodate two-way traffic. Consider wider paths (11 to 14 feet) when at minimum one of the following is anticipated:
 - User volume exceeding 300 users within the peak hour.
 - Curves where more operating space should be provided.
 - Large maintenance vehicles.
 - There is a need for a bicyclist to pass another path user while maintaining sufficient space for another user approaching from the opposing direction. 11 feet is the minimum width for three lanes of traffic.


Path width can be reduced to 8 feet where the following conditions prevail:

- Bicycle traffic is expected to be low.
- Pedestrian use is generally not expected.
- Horizontal and vertical alignments provide well-designed passing and resting opportunities.
- The path will not be regularly subjected to maintenance vehicle loading conditions.
- A physical constraint exists for a short duration such as a utility structure, fence, etc.

Path widths between 8 and 5 feet should be avoided; paths less than 5 feet do not meet ADA requirements.

If segregation of pedestrians and bicycle traffic is desirable, a minimum 15 foot width should be provided. This includes 10 feet for two-way bicycle traffic and 5 feet for two-way pedestrian traffic. (AASHTO 5.2.1).

Figure 12B-2.01: Typical Cross-Section of Two-Way Shared Use Path on Independent Right-of-Way



Source: Adapted from *AASHTO Bike Guide* Exhibit 5.1

2. **Minimum Surface Thickness:** For Iowa DOT projects, contact the Pavement Design Section in the Office of Design for a pavement determination. For local agency projects administered through Iowa DOT, Iowa DOT will accept the thickness design as determined by the engineer.

For local projects, the pavement depth for both PCC and HMA pavements should have a minimum of 4 inches and a recommended thickness of 5 inches; if pavement thickness is proposed to be less than 4 inches, a pavement determination should be completed and documented.

3. **Cross Slope:** Shared use paths must have the capabilities to serve people with disabilities.
 - a. **Type 1 and Type 2:** Cross slopes shall not exceed the requirements in Section 12A-2.
 - b. **Type 3:** A 1.5% cross slope is recommended, but cross slopes should be a minimum of 1% and shall not exceed 5%. Cross slopes greater than 2% should be sloped to the inside of the horizontal curve regardless of drainage conditions. On unpaved paths, cross slopes may increase up to 5% due to the need of draining water off the path. On rare bicycle only facilities, the path does not need to meet accessibility guidelines and the cross slope can be between 5% and 8%. Cross slope transition should be comfortable for the user; therefore, a minimum transition length of 5 feet for each 1% change in cross slope should be used.
4. **Separation of Roadway and Path:** A separation should be provided between a two-way shared use path and the adjacent roadway to demonstrate to both the bicyclist and the vehicle driver that each facility is independent of the other. This is particularly important at night. If the separation from the face of the curb or the edge of the traveled way to the near edge of the path is less than 5 feet, a barrier or railing is recommended. The barriers or railings need not be of the size and strength to redirect errant motorists unless a crashworthy barrier is needed due to high speeds and clear zone requirements. Barriers at other locations serving only as a separation should be the height of standard guardrail.

If needed, barriers and railings should be used, but since they can create considerable concerns in urban areas due to aesthetics, visibility, and maintenance problems, it may be necessary to initiate the documenting exceptions process (Section 12B-2, A, 2). The separation between the face of the curb and the path should be maximized, but with the presence of the curb, some landscaping area, and street lighting, the overall objectives of the separation can be satisfied.

5. **Lateral and Vertical Clearance:** Perhaps the most critical factor in developing safe and comfortable shared use path facilities is the provision of adequate clearance to a wide variety of potential obstructions that may be found along a prospective route. Guidelines for lateral and vertical clearance are particularly important in view of the wide range of riding proficiency that is found among riders. Clearance consideration must include:

- a. **Lateral Clearances to Fixed and Movable Obstructions:** A 2 foot minimum graded area with a 6:1 maximum cross slope (i.e., shoulder area) should be provided for clearance from lateral obstructions such as trees, poles, and bridge abutments measured from the edge of the pathway. The MUTCD requires a 2 foot minimum clearance to the sign face of post-mounted signs.

If a barrier or rail is necessary, a minimum of 1 foot lateral offset from the edge of the path is desirable. Barriers terminating within 2 feet of the edge of the path should be marked with object markers. It is undesirable to place the pathway in a narrow corridor between 2 fences for long distances.

A designer may want to consider that a typical ambulance width (including mirrors) is 11 feet.


When minimum clearance cannot be achieved, refer to Section 12A-3 for protruding object requirements; refer to the *AASHTO Bike Guide* for mitigation measures, such as pavement markings, delineation, and signing.

- b. Vertical Clearances to Overhead Obstructions:** The minimum vertical clearance is 10 feet. In some situations, such as tunnels and bridge underpasses, the vertical clearance should be greater than 10 feet in order to accommodate maintenance and emergency vehicles. In constrained areas, AASHTO allows the vertical clearance to obstructions to be a minimum of 8 feet. (AASHTO 5.2.1).

Refer to Section 12A-3 for legal requirements in low clearance situations.

- 6. Shoulder Width and Slope:** The minimum graded shoulder width is 2 feet. The maximum shoulder area cross slope is 6:1.
- 7. Safety Rail:** Safety rail should be a minimum of 42 inches in height. Provide safety rails at the outside of a structure. On steep fill embankment as described below, provide a safety rail or widen the shoulder area to 5 feet. (AASHTO 5.2.1)
- Slopes 3:1 or steeper with a drop of 6 feet or greater.
 - Slopes 3:1 or steeper adjacent to a parallel body of water or other substantial obstacle.
 - Slopes 2:1 or steeper with a drop of 4 feet or greater.
 - Slopes 1:1 or steeper with a drop of 1 foot or greater.

Figure 12B-2.02: Safety Rail between Path and Adjacent Slope



See Iowa DOT Design Manual Section 11C-10 for guidance on safety rails.

Source: Adapted from AASHTO Bike Guide Exhibit 5.3

8. Design Speed and Alignments:

- a. **Type 1:** Grades shall meet the requirements of Section 12A-2.
- b. **Type 2:** Grades shall be less than or equal to 5% and all other Type 3 requirements should be met.
- c. **Type 3:** There is no single design speed that is recommended for all paths. In general, a minimum design speed of 18 mph should be used, unless posted for slower speeds or in areas of steeper decline, in which case the design speed should be adjusted according to Table 12B-2.01. (AASHTO 5.2.4)

Table 12B-2.01: Minimum Design Speed and Horizontal Alignment

Terrain	Design Speed (mph)	Minimum Radius ¹ (Horizontal Curve) (feet)
Grades less than 2%	18	60
Grades less than or equal to 5%	25	115
Grades 6% and more	30	166

¹ Based on 20 degree maximum lean angle

Source: *AASHTO Bike Guide* 5.2.4

The minimum radius of curvature negotiable by a bicycle can be calculated using the lean angle of the bicyclist or the superelevation and coefficient of friction of the shared use path. The minimum radii of curvature for a paved path are shown in Table 12B-2.02 based on lean angle of the cyclists.

Table 12B-2.02: Minimum Radii for Lean Angle of Cyclists

Design Speed (mph)	Minimum Radius (feet)
12	27
14	36
16	47
18	60
20	74
25	115
30	166

Source: *AASHTO Bike Guide* Exhibit 5.6

The minimum radii of curvature for a paved path based on superelevation should be calculated per the equations shown in the *AASHTO Bike Guide*. (AASHTO 5.2.2, 5.2.5, 5.2.6, and 5.2.8).

Table 12B-2.03 and Figure 12B-2.03 should be used to determine the minimum clearance necessary to avoid line-of-sight obstructions for horizontal curves. The lateral clearance (horizontal sight line offset or HSO) can be obtained from Table 12B-2.03, given the stopping sight distance from Equation 12B-2.01 and the proposed horizontal radius of curvature. Lateral clearances on horizontal curves should be calculated based on the sum of


the stopping sight distances for both users traveling in opposite directions around the curve because bicyclists have a tendency to ride near the middle of narrow paths.

Table 12B-2.03: Minimum Lateral Clearance (Horizontal Sightline Offset or HSO) for Horizontal Curve

R (ft)	S= Stopping Sight Distance (ft)														
	20	40	60	80	100	120	140	160	180	200	220	240	260	280	300
25	2.0	7.6	15.9												
50	1.0	3.9	8.7	15.2	23.0	31.9	41.5								
75	0.7	2.7	5.9	10.4	16.1	22.8	30.4	38.8	47.8	57.4	67.2				
95	0.5	2.1	4.7	8.3	12.9	18.3	24.7	31.8	39.5	48.0	56.9	66.3	75.9	85.8	
125	0.4	1.6	3.6	6.3	9.9	14.1	19.1	24.7	31.0	37.9	45.4	53.3	61.7	70.6	79.7
155	0.3	1.3	2.9	5.1	8.0	11.5	15.5	20.2	25.4	31.2	37.4	44.2	51.4	59.1	67.1
175	0.3	1.1	2.6	4.6	7.1	10.2	13.8	18.0	22.6	27.8	33.5	39.6	46.1	53.1	60.5
200	0.3	1.0	2.2	4.0	6.2	8.9	12.1	15.8	19.9	24.5	29.5	34.9	40.8	47.0	53.7
225	0.2	0.9	2.0	3.5	5.5	8.0	10.8	14.1	17.8	21.9	26.4	31.3	36.5	42.2	48.2
250	0.2	0.8	1.8	3.2	5.0	7.2	9.7	12.7	16.0	19.7	23.8	28.3	33.1	38.2	43.7
275	0.2	0.7	1.6	2.9	4.5	6.5	8.9	11.6	14.6	18.0	21.7	25.8	30.2	34.9	39.9
300	0.2	0.7	1.5	2.7	4.2	6.0	8.1	10.6	13.4	16.5	19.9	23.7	27.7	32.1	36.7
350	0.1	0.6	1.3	2.3	3.6	5.1	7.0	9.1	11.5	14.2	17.1	20.4	23.9	27.6	31.7
390	0.1	0.5	1.2	2.1	3.2	4.6	6.3	8.2	10.3	12.8	15.4	18.3	21.5	24.9	28.5
500	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.5	3.6	4.9	6.4	8.1	10.0	12.1	14.3	16.8	19.5	22.3
565		0.4	0.8	1.4	2.2	3.2	4.3	5.7	7.2	8.8	10.7	12.7	14.9	17.3	19.8
600		0.3	0.8	1.3	2.1	3.0	4.1	5.3	6.7	8.3	10.1	12.0	14.0	16.3	18.7
700		0.3	0.6	1.1	1.8	2.6	3.5	4.6	5.8	7.1	8.6	10.3	12.0	14.0	16.0
800		0.3	0.6	1.0	1.6	2.2	3.1	4.0	5.1	6.2	7.6	9.0	10.5	12.2	14.0
900		0.2	0.5	0.9	1.4	2.0	2.7	3.6	4.5	5.6	6.7	8.0	9.4	10.9	12.5
1000		0.2	0.5	0.8	1.3	1.8	2.4	3.2	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.2	8.4	9.8	11.2

Source: AASHTO Bike Guide Exhibit 5.10

Figure 12B-2.03: Components for Determining Horizontal Sight Distance



Source: AASHTO Bike Guide Exhibit 5.9

For vertical alignment, use the preferred maximum segment length shown in Table 12B-2.04 whenever possible. Using the acceptable and allowed criteria should only be done when the engineer considers the ability of the users. For example, long rural segments would generally serve more physically capable users who have selected the path and could navigate the steeper grades over longer lengths.

Table 12B-2.04: Vertical Alignment

Grade Range	Maximum Segment Length (feet)		
	<i>Preferred</i>	<i>Acceptable</i> ¹	<i>Allowed</i> ²
< 5%	Any length	Any Length	Any Length
≥ 5% and < 8.33%	--	50	200
≥ 8.33% and < 10%	--	30	30
≥ 10% and < 12.50%	--	--	10

¹ Derived from AGODA Section 1016 (Outdoor Recreation Access Routes)

² Derived from AGODA Section 1017 (Trails)

The minimum length of vertical curve needed to provide minimum stopping sight distance at various speeds on crest vertical curves is presented in Table 12B-2.05. The eye height of the typical adult bicyclist is assumed to be 4.5 feet. For stopping sight distance calculations the object height is assumed to be 0 inches. (AASHTO 5.2.7). Equation 12B-2.01 can also be used to determine the minimum length of crest vertical curve necessary to provide adequate sight distance.

$$S > L \quad L = 2S - \frac{200(\sqrt{h_1} + \sqrt{h_2})^2}{A}$$

Equation 12B-2.01

$$L > S \quad L = \frac{AS^2}{100(\sqrt{2h_1} + \sqrt{2h_2})^2}$$

where:

L= Minimum length of vertical curve (ft)

A = Algebraic grade difference (percent)

S = Stopping sight distance (ft)

h₁ = Eye height (4.5 feet for a typical bicyclist)

h₂ = Object height (0 ft)

Table 12B-2.05: Minimum Length of Crest Vertical Curve Based on Stopping Sight Distance

A (%)	S=Stopping Sight Distance (ft)														
	20	40	60	80	100	120	140	160	180	200	220	240	260	280	300
2												30	70	110	150
3								20	60	100	140	180	220	260	300
4						15	55	95	135	175	215	256	300	348	400
5					20	60	100	140	180	222	269	320	376	436	500
6			10	50	90	130	170	210	267	323	384	451	523	600	
7			31	71	111	151	191	231	311	376	448	526	610	700	
8		8	48	88	128	168	208	248	356	430	512	601	697	800	
9		20	60	100	140	180	220	260	400	484	576	676	784	900	
10		30	70	110	150	190	230	270	444	538	640	751	871	1000	
11		38	78	118	158	198	238	278	489	592	704	826	958	1100	
12	5	45	85	125	165	205	245	285	533	645	768	901	1045	1200	
13	11	51	91	131	171	211	251	291	578	699	832	976	1132	1300	
14	16	56	96	136	176	216	256	296	622	753	896	1052	1220	1400	
15	20	60	100	140	180	220	260	300	667	807	960	1127	1307	1500	
16	24	64	104	144	184	224	264	304	711	860	1024	1202	1394	1600	
17	27	67	107	147	187	227	267	307	756	914	1088	1277	1481	1700	
18	30	70	110	150	190	230	270	310	800	968	1152	1352	1568	1800	
19	33	73	113	153	193	233	273	313	844	1022	1216	1427	1655	1900	
20	35	75	115	155	195	235	275	315	889	1076	1280	1502	1742	2000	
21	37	77	117	157	197	237	277	317	933	1129	1344	1577	1829	2100	
22	39	79	119	159	199	239	279	319	978	1183	1408	1652	1916	2200	
23		41	81	121	161	201	241	281	1022	1237	1472	1728	2004	2300	
24	3	43	83	123	163	203	243	283	1067	1291	1536	1803	2091	2400	
25	4	44	84	124	164	204	244	284	1111	1344	1600	1878	2178	2500	

The line between the shaded and un-shaded portions of the table shows when the stopping sight distance is equal to the length of the crest vertical curve.

Source: AASHTO Bike Guide Exhibit 5.8

- 9. Stopping Sight Distance:** Shared use paths must be designed with adequate stopping sight distance along the entire path to provide users with the opportunity to see and react to unexpected conditions. The distance needed to bring a path user to a fully controlled stop is a function of the user’s perception and braking reaction time, the initial speed, the coefficient of friction between the wheels and the pavement, the braking ability of the user’s equipment, and the grade. Minimum stopping sight distances can be determined using Equation 12B-2.02. Stopping sight distance must be provided along the entire length of the pathway and should be checked at all horizontal and vertical curves. (AASHTO 5.2.8).

$$S = \frac{V^2}{30(f \pm G)} + 3.67V$$

Equation 12B-2.02

where:

S = Stopping sight distance (ft)

V = Velocity (mph)

f = Coefficient of friction (use 0.16 for a typical bicycle)

G = Grade (ft/ft) (rise/run)

- 10. Accessibility Requirements:** For construction of curb ramps and placement of detectable warnings, see Section 12A-2 to ensure ADA compliance.


D. Intersection Sight Distance

- 1. General:** Intersection sight distance is a fundamental component in the selection of appropriate control at a midblock path-roadway intersection. The least restrictive control that is effective should be used. The line of sight is considered to be 2.3 feet above the path surface.

Roadway approach sight distance and departure sight triangles should be calculated using motor vehicles, which will control the design criteria. (AASHTO 5.3).

- 2. Approach Sight Distance:** Pathway approach sight distance should be determined by the fastest path user, typically the adult bicyclist. If yield control is to be used for either the roadway approach or the path approach, available sight distance adequate for a traveler on the yield controlled approach to slow, stop, and avoid a traveler on the other approach is required. The roadway leg (a) of the sight triangle is based on the ability of a bicyclist to reach and cross the roadway if they do not see a conflict (see Figure 12B-2.04). Similarly, the path leg (b) of the sight triangle is based on the ability of a motorist to reach and cross the junction if they do not see a conflict (see Figure 12B-2.04). If sufficient sight distance is unable to be provided by the yield sight triangle described above, more restrictive control should be implemented.

Figure 12B-2.04: Yield Sight Triangles



Source: Adapted from AASHTO Bike Guide Exhibit 5.15

$$a = 1.47V_{Road} \left(\frac{S}{1.47V_{Path}} + \frac{w + L_a}{1.47V_{Path}} \right)$$

Equation 12B-2.03
Length of Roadway Leg of Sight Triangle

$$b = V_{Path} \left(\frac{1.47V_e - 1.47V_b}{a_i} + \frac{w + L_a}{0.88V_{Road}} \right)$$

Equation 12B-2.04
Length of Path Leg of Sight Triangle

where:

a = Length of leg of sight triangle along the roadway approach (ft)

b = Length of leg of sight triangle along the path approach (ft)

w = Width of the intersection to be crossed (ft)

L_a = Design vehicle length

For Equation 12B-2.03: Typical bicycle length = 6 ft

For Equation 12B-2.04: Design vehicle length (ft)

V_{Path} = Design speed of the path (mph)

V_{Road} = Design speed of the road (mph)


S = Stopping sight distance for the path user traveling at design speed

V_e = Speed at which the motorist would enter the intersection after decelerating (mph)
(assumed 0.60 x road design speed)

V_b = Speed at which braking by the motorist begins (mph) (same as road design speed)

a_i = motorist deceleration rate (ft/s^2) on intersection approach when braking to a stop is not initiated (assume -5.0 ft/s^2)

- 3. Path-Sidewalk Intersection:** At an intersection of a shared use path and a sidewalk, a clear sight triangle extending at minimum 15 feet along the sidewalk must be provided. Refer to Figure 12B-2.05. If two shared use paths intersect, the same process for the roadway-path intersection should be used.

Figure 12B-2.05: Minimum Path-Sidewalk Sight Triangle

Source: Adapted from *AASHTO Bike Guide* Exhibit 5.16

E. Surface


It is important to construct and maintain a smooth riding surface on shared use paths. Shared use path pavements should be machine placed. Surface texture is needed but care must be exercised not to cause operational problems with too little or too much texture. Broom finish or burlap drag concrete surfaces are preferred over trowel finishes. Joints shall be sawed, not hand tooled.

1. **Type 1 and Type 2:** Type 1 and Type 2 shared use paths shall be paved.
2. **Type 3:** Hard, all-weather pavement surfaces are preferred to unpaved surfaces due to the higher service quality and lower maintenance. Type 3 shared use paths should be paved; however, a granular surface may be allowed. If a granular surface is used, it must be maintained to be firm, stable, and slip resistant.

F. Crossings at Unpaved Surfaces

When crossing an unpaved roadway, alley, or driveway, a minimum of 20 feet in addition to the path width should be paved on each side of the path to reduce the amount of gravel tracked onto the path. If edge of parallel unpaved roadway is less than 20 feet from the closest edge of the path, only pave to within 2 foot of edge of the parallel unpaved roadway. The thickness of the path and adjacent roadway paving should be designed to accommodate vehicular traffic and meet the requirements of the agency responsible for the roadway.

Figure 12B-2.06: Crossing at Unpaved Surface



G. At-grade Railroad Crossing

Whenever it is necessary to cross railroad tracks with a bicycle, special care must be taken. The crossing should be at least as wide as the approaches of the shared use path. Whenever possible, the crossing should be straight and between 90 and 60 degrees to the rails. The greater the crossing angle deviates from being perpendicular, the greater the chance that a bicyclist's front wheel may be trapped in the flangeway causing a loss of control. (AASHTO 4.12).

H. Drainage

Drainage structures underneath paths should typically be designed to the same design year storm as the roadway drainage structures. When a Type 3 shared use path is built on a berm, consider the drainage needs of that path. For shared use paths constructed on slopes, drainage design should take into account control of the runoff from the slope. For higher flows it may be necessary to develop parallel ditches and culverts under the path. Drainage designs should also provide for low flows and seepage from the slope. Due to the potential for accidents from buildup of algae from low flows and side hill seepage, the need for subdrains or other treatments on the high side of the path should be evaluated.


- 1. Urban Areas:** The minimum recommended pavement cross slope of 1% usually provides enough slope for proper drainage. Sloping in one direction, usually toward the street, instead of crowning is preferred and usually simplifies the drainage and surface construction. However, care must be exercised not to trap water on the high side of the shared use path, particularly in curved areas. (AASHTO 5.2.11).
- 2. Rural Areas:** The best way to accomplish drainage underneath a shared use path is by extending smaller structures under the path or moving the path closer to the roadway to cross larger structures, see Figure 12B-2.07.

For paths placed on the backslope, smaller drainage structures (normally pipes less than 60 inches and box culverts less than 5 feet by 4 feet) should be extended through the path. For larger culverts, the path should be moved in to cross the structure and then moved back out to the backslope. If this is done, longitudinal drainage will have to be provided where the path crosses

the ditch. Depending upon how close the path comes to culvert openings, safety railing may be needed on the culverts.

For paths on the foreslope, culverts should be extended as necessary.

Figure 12B-2.07: Accommodating Drainage Structures



I. Structure Design

The minimum width for a shared use path on a new roadway bridge, widened roadway bridge, or separate pedestrian structure is 10 feet. Through conversations with the Iowa Bicycle Coalition, this was determined to be adequate width in most situations. If heavy use is anticipated, such as near a school, a 12 or 14 foot wide path should be used. If a separate shared use path structure is to be constructed, it should have a 5% maximum running grade.

If widening a bridge or building a new structure is beyond the scope of a project, it may be possible to use an existing sidewalk as a path. The path should be separated from vehicular traffic with a barrier. Signage may be necessary instructing cyclists to dismount before crossing the bridge. For Iowa DOT administered projects, the designer should contact the Office of Design and the Office of Traffic and Safety for further assistance if considering a narrowed path across a bridge.

J. Pavement Markings

Ladder or zebra pavement markings per MUTCD are recommended at crosswalks. Other pavement markings are not required, except as mitigation strategies. (AASHTO 5.4).

K. Signing

All signs should be retroreflective and conform to the color, legend, and shape requirements described in the MUTCD. In addition, guide signing, such as to indicate directions, destinations, distances, route numbers, and names of crossing streets should be used. In general, uniform application of traffic control devices, as described in the MUTCD, should be used and will tend to encourage proper bicyclist behavior. (AASHTO 5.4).

L. Lighting

Fixed-source lighting reduces conflicts along shared use paths and at intersections. In addition, lighting allows the bicyclist to see the shared use path direction, surface conditions, and obstacles. Lighting for paths is important and may be considered where heavy nighttime riding is expected (e.g., paths serving college students or commuters) and at roadway intersections. Lighting should be considered through underpasses or tunnels and when nighttime security could be a problem. Where special security problems exist, higher illumination levels may be considered. Light standards (poles) should meet the recommended horizontal and vertical clearances. (AASHTO 5.2.12).

Chronology of Changes to Design Manual Section:

012B-002 Shared Use Path Design

9/20/2012 NEW

New. Replaces 11A-1. Updates to comply with 2011 PROWAG and Draft AASHTO Bike Guide.